

# Allegations of Genetic Harm to Newborn Females are Easy to Verify

All it Takes is Swabbing a Few Dozen Select Newborns for DNA



IGOR CHUDOV

FEB 28, 2022



255



232

Share

I wrote a couple of articles recently, about a [study](#) that found reverse transcription of mRNA into DNA in human cells.



Igor's Newsletter

## Worst Fears Realized: Pfizer mRNA Transcribes into DNA

A new study is out: Intracellular Reverse Transcription of Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 In Vitro in Human Liver Cell Line. What it is saying is: lab studies show that mRNA vaccine DOES integrate itself into human cellular DNA. This means that a shot of Pfizer vaccine, taken even once, permanently changes the DNA of affected cells...

Read more

2 years ago · 287 likes · 343 comments · Igor Chudov

Why is this important? When mRNA vaccination campaign started, we were promised that mRNA enclosed in Lipid NanoParticles (LNP) is very short lived, generates “harmless spike protein”, and goes away in a few days, priming our immune system for success. The virus, we were told, “stops with every vaccinated person”.



**Mike Oxloug**  
@MBSafecracker

@cenglish75 @Nacirema79 @Daniel85401173  
@bluemontanasky @markmoskvit @ElsaOrgana  
@arghavan\_salles @StevijoPayne The virus stops with  
every vaccinated person!!



No video with supported format and MIME type found.


6:39 AM · Feb 21, 2022

Well, we know now that none of what we were promised was true: [spike](#) protein is [not harmless](#), mRNA from the vaccine is unnaturally stabilized and [stays active for months](#), and of course we know [how well the vaccine works](#). And the worst of it, we learned that mRNA vaccine [converts to DNA in human cells](#).

However, since I posted these articles, much more worrying information came to light. It concerns “germ line modifications”, that is, modifications of female eggs and male sperm, that might cause **female infertility in the next generation**.

## Disturbing Allegations

An astute reader pointed out an archived copy of an **anonymous** post from 2020, before any problems with vaccines became known. This anonymous, unsigned post on 4chan purports to be written by a Moderna process engineer and a Moderna industrial engineer.



1MiB, 756x547, pd.png

View Same Google iqdb SauceNAO Trace

**Anonymous** ID:CjV1c4Sa Wed 09 Dec 2020 04:22:55 No.295621351 [333 / 38 / 121]

View Reply Original Report

Quoted By: >>295621805 >>295622149 >>295622168 >>295622241 >>295622293  
>>295622302 >>295622410 >>295622483 >>295622603 >>295622774 >>295623062  
>>295623469 >>295623736 >>295623741 >>295623779 >>295624089 >>295624289  
>>295624304 >>295624495 >>295625215 >>295625376 >>295627306 >>295627673  
>>295627827 >>295628182 >>295628849 >>295629650 >>295629933 >>295630160  
>>295630241 >>295630390 >>295630940 >>295630981 >>295631051 >>295631178  
>>295631283 >>295631296 >>295631786 >>295632341 >>295632357 >>295632419  
>>295632739 >>295632768 >>295632904 >>295633435 >>295633483 >>295633848  
>>295633868 >>295633918 >>295634022 >>295634536 >>295634636 >>295634910

I'm an industrial engineer at Moderna and the other one of us is a process development engineer. I'm sure the same thing is happening with Pfizer-BioNTech. It was hard to put things together based on the small quantities of additions happening in manual step (highly unorthodox for a continuous process production). The explanation we got was highly sensitive trade secret adjuvants being added. Digging in deeper showed how sensitive it actually was.

Most people's understanding of this novel vaccine type is that it works as follows:

1. Make mRNA coding for S protein
2. Make lipid nanoparticle delivery system
3. Profit

How it actually works from what we've uncovered:

1. Make mRNA coding for S protein
2. Make mRNA coding for mutant versions of CYP19A1 and CDKN1B in smaller amounts
3. Make sure that while delivery system for (1) mostly ends up in liver, most of (2) ends up in the gonads
4. Make sure form and quantity of additive upregulating LINE-1 reverse transcription activity makes it hard to detect among legit adjuvants
5. Effects from (2) integrated by (4) are recessive; mildly oncogenic effects in vaccine recipients unlikely to be noticed for many years
6. (5) recessive but since most of population vaccinated, in next generation female offspring have premature ovarian failure

(6) coincides with poor people being obsoleted by AI and robotics, so we didn't have to dig for motivation. We've taken precautions but fear for our safety. So far I don't think we've raised suspicion, but can't be sure. Not sure what to do. Avoiding taking the vaccine makes us prime suspects for this leak.

Ordinarily, such anonymous allegations would not deserve any attention, except

- This was actually well written using proper trade jargon
- This was written in 2020 BEFORE anything became known about dangers of mRNA vaccines and the terms used were not on the radar
- Many allegations of this post actually check out. Specifically, LINE-1 reverse transcriptase interacts with vaccines, and [DNA reverse transcription does convert mRNA into DNA in human cells](#).
- This stuff is **very hard to make up without knowing**

The biggest allegation is that a purposely mutated version of gene CDKN1B ends up in gonads (ovaries and testes). Furthermore, the anonymous post alleges, the mRNA payload would modify human DNA of the eggs as well as sperm producing cells, introducing a CDKN1B mutation. According to this unverified and unsourced post, this mutation is recessive, meaning that it would only show up if BOTH parents germ cells – the egg and the specific sperm cell that fertilizes it – carry CDKN1B.

The possible result of this alleged mutation is that **female offspring of vaccinated people, would be prone to “Premature Ovarian Failure” and thus would not be able to reproduce.**

This type of anonymous stuff should typically be dismissed as wild fantasy, EXCEPT THAT WHAT WE KNOW SO FAR, CONFIRMS WHAT IT SAID, and **it was written before the general public could know** any of those facts or issues, so it makes sense that it was written by insiders, and is not an outsider’s speculation.

Thus, in my opinion, this post deserves further skeptical, but close, attention.

## **How to Verify or (Hopefully) Dismiss It**

It is very difficult to check, in a lab, what exactly processes go on “in vivo”, inside ovaries and testes. Measuring such processes in reproductive organs is prone to mistakes, molecular complexity, complex ethical issues, and is likely to be very time consuming and expensive to properly study.

However, it is very easy to **test the outcome** of all this: all it takes is locate a few dozen newborn females, born to parents double-vaccinated at least a month before conception. Then scientists could swab their cheeks for DNA and check whether these females carry the alleged CDKN1B mutation.

Such a study would not be invasive, would (hopefully) bring comforting news to


parents, and would be inexpensive.

Looking at the timeline, a baby born in March of 2022, would be conceived around last June, so any couple where both spouses were vaccinated before last May would qualify. Such infants are not hard to find at all and this should be very easy to conduct. There are no invasive procedures and no danger to the newborns.

I hope and pray that the answer would be negative and the the little baby girls do not carry the CDKN1B Mutation. But some assurance would certainly be welcomed.

## Sperm

Another very easy experiment is to test the sperm of vaccinated males to see if it shows an increased level of any specific mutations, compared to the past.



Sequence analysis of the CDKN1B gene in patients with premature ovarian failure reveals a novel mutation potentially related to the phenotype

## Premature Ovarian Failure